Application No.10/598,538 Attorney Docket: 2017-108

REMARKS

This is in response to the Office Action dated May 6, 2009. Applicant fully complies with the Examiner's teaching. No arguments entered in this amendment.

Objection to Drawing

To comply with the Examiner's teaching, Applicant has labeled FIG. 1 with 'Prior Art' and the amended drawing sheet is attached herewith.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §102

The Examiner has rejected claim 1 as being anticipated over the cited reference. Without arguments, Applicant has incorporated allowable claim 2 into claim 1, thus canceling original claim 2., and further incorporated allowable claim 8 into claim 6, thus canceling original claim 8.

Allowable Claims

The Examiner objected claims 2, 4-5 and 8-9, stating the objected claims would be allowable if rewritten to include all limitations of the base claim. Applicant has incorporated the allowable claim 4 into the first independent claim 1, and amended dependency of claims 3-6 and 8 to claim 1. Applicant now believes claims 1 and 3-17 are in condition for allowance recordation

Applicant respectfully requests a notice of allowance for claims 1 and 3-17 be issued in due course, if required, under the Examiner's Amendment. If a telephone conversation is believed to clarify matters with regard to its allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney at the number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 4, 2009

Mames E. Bame
(James E. Bame
(Regis, No. 44521
Tel: 213-384-7200
IPLA P.A.
3580 Wilshire Blvd 17th Fl.
Los Angeles, CA 90010